

**SUPPLEMENT TO “INFLUENCE DIAGNOSTICS FOR RIDGE
REGRESSION USING THE KULLBACK-LEIBLER
DIVERGENCE”**

ALONSO OGUEDA AND FELIPE OSORIO

ABSTRACT. In this supplement, we present explicit expressions to determine the influence of the i th observation on the ridge estimator and obtain ∇_{KL} and \mathbf{F}_{KL} which define the local curvature considering several perturbation schemes.

APPENDIX A. CASE-DELETION INFLUENCE MEASURE BASED ON
THE KULLBACK-LEIBLER DIVERGENCE

Proof of Proposition 1. We have that $\boldsymbol{\delta} = \mathbf{E}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda) - \mathbf{E}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i))$ can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\delta} = \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(i) \mathbf{X}_{(i)}^\top \mathbf{X}_{(i)} \boldsymbol{\beta},$$

due to the fact that $\mathbf{X}_{(i)}^\top \mathbf{X}_{(i)} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top$, and

$$\mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(i) = \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} + \frac{\mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}}{1 - h_{ii}(\lambda)},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\delta} &= \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{1 - h_{ii}(\lambda)} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \frac{h_{ii}(\lambda)}{1 - h_{ii}(\lambda)} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{1 - h_{ii}(\lambda)} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{S}_\lambda) \boldsymbol{\beta} = \frac{\lambda}{1 - h_{ii}(\lambda)} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

By defining $q(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}$, we can notice that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(i) \boldsymbol{\delta} &= \frac{\lambda}{1 - h_{ii}(\lambda)} (\mathbf{S}_\lambda - \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top) \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i q(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{\lambda}{1 - h_{ii}(\lambda)} (1 - h_{ii}(\lambda)) \mathbf{x}_i q(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &= \lambda q(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\delta}^\top \text{Cov}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i)) \boldsymbol{\delta} &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \boldsymbol{\delta}^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda(i) (\mathbf{X}_{(i)}^\top \mathbf{X}_{(i)})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(i) \boldsymbol{\delta} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2 q^2(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{x}_i^\top \left\{ (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} + \frac{(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}}{1 - h_{ii}} \right\} \mathbf{x}_i \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2 q^2(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\sigma^2} \left(h_{ii} + \frac{h_{ii}^2}{1 - h_{ii}} \right) = \frac{\lambda^2 q^2(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\sigma^2} \left(\frac{h_{ii}}{1 - h_{ii}} \right) \quad (\text{A.1}) \end{aligned}$$

For the calculation of $\text{tr Cov}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \text{Cov}^{-1}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i))$ it is required to obtain the trace of the $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(i) (\mathbf{X}_{(i)}^\top \mathbf{X}_{(i)})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(i) \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}$ matrix. After a simple but tedious algebra,

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(i) (\mathbf{X}_{(i)}^\top \mathbf{X}_{(i)})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(i) \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} &= \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} (\mathbf{S}_\lambda - \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top) \left\{ (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{1 - h_{ii}} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \right\} (\mathbf{S}_\lambda - \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top) \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \\
&= \mathbf{I}_p + \frac{1}{1 - h_{ii}} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} - \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} - \frac{h_{ii}}{1 - h_{ii}} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \\
&\quad - \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} - \frac{h_{ii}}{1 - h_{ii}} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\
&\quad + h_{ii} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} + \frac{h_{ii}^2}{1 - h_{ii}} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr Cov}(\widehat{\beta}_\lambda) \text{Cov}^{-1}(\widehat{\beta}_\lambda(i)) &= p + \frac{h_{ii}}{1 - h_{ii}} - 2h_{ii}(\lambda) - \frac{2h_{ii}h_{ii}(\lambda)}{1 - h_{ii}} \\
&\quad + \frac{h_{ii}}{1 - h_{ii}} \text{tr } \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \\
&= p + \frac{1}{1 - h_{ii}} (h_{ii} - 2h_{ii}(\lambda) + h_{ii} \text{tr } \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the SVD decomposition of $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}^\top$ and noting that $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, leads to,

$$\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{D}^2 (\mathbf{D}^2 + \lambda \mathbf{I}_p)^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top \mathbf{D} (\mathbf{D}^2 + \lambda \mathbf{I}_p)^{-1} \mathbf{V}^\top,$$

hence

$$\text{tr } \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} = \text{tr } \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top \mathbf{\Delta} = \mathbf{u}_i^\top \mathbf{\Delta}^2 \mathbf{u}_i,$$

with $\mathbf{\Delta} = (\mathbf{D}^2 + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{D}^2$. Consequently,

$$\text{tr Cov}(\widehat{\beta}_\lambda) \text{Cov}^{-1}(\widehat{\beta}_\lambda(i)) = p + \frac{1}{1 - h_{ii}} \left\{ (1 + \mathbf{u}_i^\top \mathbf{\Delta}^2 \mathbf{u}_i) h_{ii} - 2h_{ii}(\lambda) \right\}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

In addition, as

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{X}_{(i)}^\top \mathbf{X}_{(i)}| &= |\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top)| = (1 - h_{ii}) |\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}|, \\
|\mathbf{S}_\lambda(i)| &= |\mathbf{S}_\lambda (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top)| = (1 - h_{ii}(\lambda)) |\mathbf{S}_\lambda|,
\end{aligned}$$

leads to write the ratio of determinants as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|\text{Cov}(\widehat{\beta}_\lambda)|}{|\text{Cov}(\widehat{\beta}_\lambda(i))|} &= \frac{\sigma^{2p} |\mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}|}{\sigma^{2p} |\mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(i) \mathbf{X}_{(i)}^\top \mathbf{X}_{(i)} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(i)|} = \left(\frac{|\mathbf{S}_\lambda(i)|}{|\mathbf{S}_\lambda|} \right)^2 \frac{|\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}|}{|\mathbf{X}_{(i)}^\top \mathbf{X}_{(i)}|} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{(1 - h_{ii}(\lambda)) |\mathbf{S}_\lambda|}{|\mathbf{S}_\lambda|} \right\}^2 \frac{|\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}|}{(1 - h_{ii}) |\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}|} = \frac{(1 - h_{ii}(\lambda))^2}{1 - h_{ii}} \quad (\text{A.3})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by collecting Equations (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3), allows to verify the proposition. \square

APPENDIX B. CURVATURE DERIVATION

In this appendix we derive the first and second differential $d_\omega KL(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ and $d_\omega^2 KL(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ for the each perturbation scheme defined in Section 3.2 of the manuscript. The vector ∇_{KL} and matrix \mathbf{F}_{KL} are efficiently obtained using the differentiation method described in Magnus and Neudecker (2019) (see also Liu et al., 2024).

Proof of Proposition 2. Assume the influence function

$$\begin{aligned} KL(\boldsymbol{\omega}) &= \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\delta}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) - \frac{p}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \\ &\quad + \log |\mathbf{S}_\lambda| - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}| - \log |\mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega})| + \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = (\mathbf{M}_\lambda - \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}))\boldsymbol{\beta}$, with $\mathbf{M}_\lambda = \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{M}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}$. Consider the following differentials,

$$d_\omega (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} = -(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$d_\omega \log |\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}| = \text{tr} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$d_\omega \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$d_\omega \log |\mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega})| = \text{tr} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$d_\omega \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = -\mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \quad (\text{B.5})$$

and additionally

$$\begin{aligned} d_\omega \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) &= -\{\mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Using Equations (B.1) through (B.6), and after some algebra, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\omega KL(\boldsymbol{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \text{tr} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{M}_\lambda - \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega})) \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \text{tr} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{M}_\lambda - \mathbf{M}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega})) \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \\ &\quad + \text{tr} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} - \text{tr} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W}. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0$, we have

$$\mathbf{M}_\lambda - \mathbf{M}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \mathbf{S}_\lambda, \quad \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W}_0 \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X},$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\omega KL(\boldsymbol{\omega})|_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=\boldsymbol{\omega}_0} &= \text{tr} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X} d \mathbf{W} - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top d \mathbf{W} - \text{tr} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X} d \mathbf{W} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the first identification theorem given in Magnus and Neudecker (2019), we obtain that $\partial KL(\boldsymbol{\omega})/\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}|_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=\boldsymbol{\omega}_0} = \mathbf{0}$.

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\omega}^2 KL(\omega) &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \beta^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}(\omega) \delta(\omega) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \beta^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \delta(\omega) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \beta^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\omega) \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \beta \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \beta^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \beta \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \beta^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\omega) \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}(\omega) \delta(\omega) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \beta^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\omega) \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\omega) \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}(\omega) \delta(\omega) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \beta^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\omega) \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}(\omega) \delta(\omega) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \beta^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\omega) \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\omega) \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \beta \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \beta^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\omega) \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \beta \\
&\quad - \text{tr} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}(\omega) \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \\
&\quad + \text{tr} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \\
&\quad + \text{tr} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}(\omega) (\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}(\omega) \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}(\omega) (\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \\
&\quad + \text{tr} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\omega) \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\omega) \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}.
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at $\omega = \omega_0$ and $\theta = \hat{\theta}_{\lambda}$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\omega}^2 KL(\omega) \Big|_{\omega=\omega_0, \theta=\hat{\theta}_{\lambda}} &= -\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}^2} \hat{\beta}_{\lambda}^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_{\lambda} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}^2} \hat{\beta}_{\lambda}^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H}(\lambda) \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_{\lambda} - \text{tr} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} \\
&\quad + \text{tr} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H}(\lambda) - \text{tr} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} + \text{tr} \mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} \\
&\quad + \text{tr} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H}(\lambda) d\mathbf{W} - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{H}(\lambda) \mathbf{Y}$, and

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}(\lambda) = \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \quad \mathbf{H}(\lambda)\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}(\lambda),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\omega}^2 KL(\omega) \Big|_{\omega=\omega_0, \theta=\hat{\theta}_{\lambda}} &= -\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}^2} \text{tr} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) \mathbf{C} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W} + \text{tr} \mathbf{H}^2(\lambda) d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W} \\
&\quad - 2 \text{tr} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W} + \text{tr} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H}(\lambda) d\mathbf{W} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H} d\mathbf{W},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^{\top} - \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\lambda} \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\lambda}^{\top}$.

Hence, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\omega}^2 KL(\boldsymbol{\omega})|_{\omega=\omega_0, \theta=\hat{\theta}_\lambda} &= -\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_\lambda^2} \text{tr} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) \mathbf{C} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) d \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H} d \mathbf{W} + \text{tr} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) d \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H}(\lambda) d \mathbf{W} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \{ \mathbf{H} - 4\mathbf{H}(\lambda) + \mathbf{H}^2(\lambda) \} d \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H} d \mathbf{W}. \end{aligned}$$

Vectorizing, leads to

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\omega}^2 KL(\boldsymbol{\omega})|_{\omega=\omega_0, \theta=\hat{\theta}_\lambda} &= -\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_\lambda^2} (\text{d vec } \mathbf{W})^\top (\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}(\lambda) \mathbf{C} \mathbf{H}(\lambda)) \text{d vec } \mathbf{W} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\text{d vec } \mathbf{W})^\top (\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H} - 4\mathbf{H}(\lambda) + \mathbf{H}^2(\lambda)) \text{d vec } \mathbf{W} \\ &\quad + (\text{d vec } \mathbf{W})^\top (\mathbf{H}(\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{H}(\lambda)) \text{d vec } \mathbf{W}, \end{aligned}$$

as $\mathbf{W} = \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$, then there exists a transition matrix \mathbf{B}_n (see [Nel, 1980](#)) such that $\text{vec } \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{B}_n \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Using the second identification theorem given in [Magnus and Neudecker \(2019\)](#), the result follows. \square

Proof of Proposition 3. For this perturbation scheme, it is straightforward to notice that $KL(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is given by

$$KL(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\delta}^\top \text{Cov}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda) \boldsymbol{\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\omega}^\top \mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\omega},$$

because $\boldsymbol{\delta} = -(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \boldsymbol{\omega}$ and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top$. Thus,

$$d_{\omega} KL(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \boldsymbol{\omega}^\top \mathbf{H} d \boldsymbol{\omega}, \quad d_{\omega}^2 KL(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = (d \boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{H} d \boldsymbol{\omega}.$$

Applying the theorems of identification by [Magnus and Neudecker \(2019\)](#), we verify the proposition. \square

Proof of Proposition 4. Consider the following influence function,

$$\begin{aligned} KL(\boldsymbol{\omega}) &= \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \boldsymbol{\delta}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) - \frac{p}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \\ &\quad + \log |\mathbf{S}_\lambda| - \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}| - \log |\mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega})| + \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega})|. \end{aligned}$$

Obtaining the first differential of $KL(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ yields,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\omega} KL(\boldsymbol{\omega}) &= -\frac{a}{\sigma^2} \boldsymbol{\delta}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^{-1} \mathbf{c}_t (d \boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{\sigma^2} \boldsymbol{\delta}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^{-1} \mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{\sigma^2} \boldsymbol{\delta}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^{-1} \mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \\ &\quad - \frac{a}{2\sigma^2} \boldsymbol{\delta}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^{-1} \mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \\ &\quad + a \text{tr} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^{-1} \mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \\ &\quad - \frac{a}{2} \text{tr} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^{-1} \mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^{-1} \mathbf{S}_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \\ &\quad - 2a \mathbf{c}_t^\top \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) d \boldsymbol{\omega} + a \mathbf{c}_t^\top (\mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}))^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) d \boldsymbol{\omega}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \mathbf{c}_t (d \boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) + \mathbf{X}^\top(\boldsymbol{\omega}) (d \boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{c}_t^\top$.

and analogously

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0) (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0) &= r_{tt} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}) (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{c}_t (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{c}_t^\top \\ &\quad + (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{c}_t [\mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{c}_t (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathbf{c}_t^\top], \end{aligned}$$

and evaluating at $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0$, $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_\lambda$, leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}_\omega^2 KL(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0) &= \frac{a^2 r_{tt}}{\widehat{\sigma}_\lambda^2} (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_\lambda \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_\lambda^\top \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \frac{2a^2 r_{tt}}{\widehat{\sigma}_\lambda^2} (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{H}(\lambda) \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_\lambda \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_\lambda^\top (\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}(\lambda)) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &\quad + a^2 \left(l_{tt} + \frac{m_t^2}{\widehat{\sigma}_\lambda^2} + r_{tt}^2 \right) (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{H} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} - 2a^2 r_{tt} (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{H}(\lambda) (\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}(\lambda)) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &\quad - \frac{2a^2}{\widehat{\sigma}_\lambda^2} (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{E}_t \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_\lambda \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_\lambda^\top (\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}(\lambda)) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &\quad - 4a^2 (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{E}_t \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}(\lambda)) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &\quad + a^2 (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{E}_t (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &\quad + 2a^2 (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega})^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_t \mathbf{S}_\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the theorems of identification in [Magnus and Neudecker \(2019\)](#), the result follows. \square

APPENDIX C. PENALIZED LIKELIHOOD DISPLACEMENT FOR RIDGE REGRESSION

Suppose that $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the parameter of main interest while σ^2 is assumed as a nuisance parameter. To assess the influence of the i -th observation ($i = 1, \dots, n$), we propose to use the penalized likelihood displacement ([Cook et al., 1988](#)), which can be developed for ridge regression as,

$$LD_i(\boldsymbol{\beta} | \sigma^2) = 2 \{ \ell_\lambda(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda, \widehat{\sigma}_\lambda^2) - \max_{\sigma^2} \ell_\lambda(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i), \sigma^2) \}.$$

Because,

$$\ell_\lambda(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i), \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i)\|^2 + \lambda \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i)\|^2),$$

it is maximized at $\widehat{\sigma}_{\max}^2(i) = (\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i)\|^2 + \lambda \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i)\|^2) / n$. Therefore,

$$\max_{\sigma^2} \ell_\lambda(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i), \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log \widehat{\sigma}_{\max}^2(i) - \frac{n}{2}.$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_\lambda(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda, \widehat{\sigma}_\lambda^2) &= -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log \widehat{\sigma}_\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2\widehat{\sigma}_\lambda^2} (\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda\|^2 + \lambda \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda\|^2) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log \widehat{\sigma}_\lambda^2 - \frac{n}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This allows us to write,


$$LD_i(\boldsymbol{\beta} | \sigma^2) = n \log \left(\frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{\max}^2}{\widehat{\sigma}_\lambda^2} \right) = n \log \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i)\|^2 + \lambda \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda(i)\|^2}{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda\|^2 + \lambda \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\lambda\|^2} \right).$$

REFERENCES

- Cook, R.D., Peña, D., Weisberg, S. (1988). The likelihood displacement: A unifying principle for influence measures. *Communications in Statistics - Theory & Methods* **17**, 623-640.
- Liu, S., Trenkler, G., Kollo, T., von Rosen, D., Baksalary, O.M. (2024). Professor Heinz Neudecker and matrix differential calculus. *Statistical Papers* **65**, 2605-2639.
- Magnus, J.R., Neudecker, H. (2019). *Matrix Differential Calculus with Applications in Statistics and Econometrics (3rd Ed.)*. Wiley, Chichester.
- Nel, D. (1980). On matrix differentiation in statistics. *South African Statistical Journal* **14**, 137-193.
- Osorio, F. (2016). Influence diagnostics for robust P-splines using scale mixture of normal distributions. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics* **68**, 589-619.
- Shi, L., Wang, X. (1999). Local influence in ridge regression. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* **31**, 341-353.
- Thomas, W. (1991). Influence diagnostics for the cross-validated smoothing parameter in spline smoothing. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **86**, 693-698.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY, USA

Current address: 4400 University Dr. Fairfax, VA 22030, USA

Orcid ID:  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5411-1484>

Email address: aogueda@gmu.edu

Orcid ID:  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4675-5201>

Email address: faosorios.stat@gmail.com