

**SUPPLEMENT TO “ASSESSMENT OF LOCAL INFLUENCE FOR  
THE ANALYSIS OF AGREEMENT”**

CARLA LEAL, MANUEL GALEA, AND FELIPE OSORIO

APPENDIX A. ADDITIONAL SIMULATION RESULTS

We conducted an additional simulation experiment based on 500 datasets with sample sizes of  $n = 25, 50, 100$  and  $200$  following a normal distribution with parameters

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.00 & 0.95 \\ 0.95 & 1.00 \end{pmatrix},$$

were generated. Two outliers were introduced replacing observations 1 and 10, i.e.  $x_{2,1}$  and  $x_{2,10}$  as  $x_{2,1} + \delta$  and  $x_{2,10} + \delta$ , respectively, for  $\delta = 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0$  and  $3.5$ . A summary of the results is presented in tables below (see Section 4 of the manuscript).

TABLE 1. Outlier detection percentage using different influence measures:  $\hat{\rho}_c(\boldsymbol{\omega})$  as objective function.

$n$	Influence measure	$\delta$						
		0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5
25	$C$	2.4	21.0	58.8	76.8	85.4	88.6	90.0
	$B$	2.4	21.0	58.8	76.8	85.4	88.6	90.0
	FI	2.4	14.4	39.4	53.8	58.2	59.4	59.6
	SI	2.4	21.0	58.8	76.8	85.4	88.6	90.0
	FI and SI	0.4	7.8	29.0	45.6	52.8	57.8	57.4
50	$C$	1.8	23.2	65.4	87.0	95.8	99.2	99.2
	$B$	1.8	23.2	65.4	87.0	95.8	99.2	99.2
	FI	3.8	34.2	74.2	87.8	92.2	94.6	94.4
	SI	1.8	23.2	65.4	87.0	95.8	99.2	99.2
	FI and SI	0.6	15.2	51.6	76.4	88.4	94.0	93.6
100	$C$	3.2	26.0	59.0	80.4	93.4	99.6	99.2
	$B$	3.2	26.0	59.0	80.4	93.4	99.6	99.2
	FI	4.6	48.2	87.0	96.6	98.4	99.6	99.2
	SI	3.2	26.0	59.0	80.4	93.4	99.6	99.2
	FI and SI	1.6	18.8	52.6	78.2	92.0	99.2	98.4
200	$C$	5.8	32.4	58.2	71.6	91.6	99.2	99.0
	$B$	5.8	32.4	58.2	71.6	91.6	99.2	99.0
	FI	6.0	55.4	92.2	99.8	99.6	100.0	100.0
	SI	5.8	32.4	58.2	71.6	91.6	99.2	99.0
	FI and SI	2.6	23.8	54.8	71.4	91.2	99.2	99.0

---

The authors were partially supported by FONDECYT grants 1140580 and 1150325, respectively.

TABLE 2. Outlier detection percentage using different influence measures:  $\hat{\psi}_c(\boldsymbol{\omega})$  as objective function.

$n$	Influence measure	$\delta$						
		0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5
25	$C$	2.0	24.8	62.8	82.0	91.8	97.2	98.4
	$B$	2.0	24.8	62.8	82.0	91.8	97.2	98.4
	FI	1.8	16.8	43.6	61.2	72.0	78.8	79.4
	SI	2.0	24.8	62.8	82.0	91.8	97.2	98.4
	FI and SI	1.8	16.8	43.6	61.2	72.0	78.8	79.4
50	$C$	4.0	38.4	85.0	95.6	99.4	100.0	100.0
	$B$	4.0	38.4	85.0	95.6	99.4	100.0	100.0
	FI	4.0	37.8	78.6	92.4	97.0	99.0	99.2
	SI	4.0	38.4	85.0	95.6	99.4	100.0	100.0
	FI and SI	3.4	34.8	78.6	92.4	97.0	99.0	99.2
100	$C$	3.8	48.4	92.2	99.4	100.0	100.0	100.0
	$B$	3.8	48.4	92.2	99.4	100.0	100.0	100.0
	FI	4.2	54.6	90.0	97.8	100.0	100.0	100.0
	SI	3.8	48.4	92.2	99.4	100.0	100.0	100.0
	FI and SI	3.0	44.2	89.6	97.8	100.0	100.0	100.0
200	$C$	7.6	63.2	97.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	$B$	7.6	63.2	97.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	FI	6.6	64.2	95.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	SI	7.6	63.2	97.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	FI and SI	5.0	55.4	94.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

CENTRO REGIONAL DE INCLUSIÓN E INNOVACIÓN SOCIAL, UNIVERSIDAD VIÑA DEL MAR, CHILE

*Current address:* Diego Portales 90, Viña del Mar, Chile

*Orcid ID:*  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1739-3456>

*E-mail address:* [cleal@uvm.cl](mailto:cleal@uvm.cl)

DEPARTAMENTO DE ESTADÍSTICA, PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE

*Current address:* Avenida Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile.

*Orcid ID:*  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9819-5843>

*E-mail address:* [mgalea@mat.uc.cl](mailto:mgalea@mat.uc.cl)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD TÉCNICA FEDERICO SANTA MARÍA, CHILE

*Current address:* Avenida España 1680, Valparaíso, Chile

*Orcid ID:*  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4675-5201>

*E-mail address:* [felipe.osorios@usm.cl](mailto:felipe.osorios@usm.cl)